

## Comment Set C.34: Suzette and Mike Hester

**From:** Brydlup@aol.com [mailto:Brydlup@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wed 9/6/2006 12:30 AM  
**To:** Antelope-Pardee Project  
**Subject:** Transmission lines

I live on the other side of the hill where these transmission lines would be going. I am opposed to the alternative 5 route as it would ruin my recreational activity, riding my horse through all those trails there.

C.34-1

I can also sympathize with my neighbors with losing their houses. Also, I do not like having those lines that close to my house. What's wrong with following the original route?

C.34-2

Suzette & Mike Hester  
40101 92nd St West  
Leona Valley CA. 93551

## Response to Comment Set C.34: Suzette and Mike Hester

C.34-1 As discussed in Section C.9.10.2 (Land Use and Public Recreation) of the Draft EIS/EIR, implementation of Alternative 5 would temporarily preclude recreational trails. However, the following mitigation measures have been recommended to minimize impacts to recreationists: Mitigation Measures R-1a (Coordinate Construction Schedule with the Authorized Officer for the Recreation Area), R-1b (Identify Alternative Recreation Areas), R-1c (Temporary Closure of Off-Highway Vehicle Routes During Construction), R-1d (Temporary Upgrades to Forest System Roads), and B-1a (Provide Restoration/Compensation for Impacts to Native Vegetation Communities).

In Section C.9.10.2, the Draft EIR/EIS acknowledges that Alternative 5 would alter the natural or scenic quality of a recreational resource, which would result in a significant and unavoidable impact.

C.34-2 Please see General Response GR-4 regarding the process undertaken for identification and selection of alternatives for the Project.